



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/493,220	01/28/2000	Alison Joan Lennon	169.1595	1967		
5514 7590 11/07/2006 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			PHAM, F	PHAM, HUNG Q		
NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			. 2168			
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/493,220	LENNON, ALISON JOAN		
Examiner	Art Unit .		
HUNG Q. PHAM	2168		

	HUNG Q. FHAM	2100	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ig date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• • •	426/-) and the annual	40 audamatan 60 a
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the self forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	F will not be entered b	0001100
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, last the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection filed after a final rejection filed after a final rejection filed after a f	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		ducina or cimplifyina	the issues for
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	educing or simplifying	me issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-12,14-18,32-37,39-47,49-53,67-7</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>1,74 and 119-121</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.		Janlar	*
		Hung Q. Pham Examiner	
		ALI 2168	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment of claims 1, 32, 36, 67, 71 and 74, e.g., "displaying items for selection in accordance with an attribute representative of a first axis of access that is the table-of-contents classification independently of the content of said resources", raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.